

Ser. No. 10/604,284  
Art Unit: 2816

9

Printed 5/20/2004

**REMARKS**

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as anticipated by Sidiropoulos et al (US Pat. No. 6,573,779). Claims 11-20 were allowed and claims 2-10 were objected to as being  
5 dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Please note that the Sidiropoulos reference is not listed on either form PTO-892 or on Applicant's IDS.

10

Claim 1 is being cancelled and the limitations of base claim 1 are being copied into allowable claim 2.

In view of the above, it is submitted that claims 2-20, as amended, are in a position for  
15 allowance. This application was filed with formal drawings. Applicant believes that a full and complete response to the office action has been made. Reconsideration and re-examination is respectfully requested. Allowance of the claims at an early date is solicited.

20 If the Examiner believes that a telephone interview would expedite prosecution of this application, he is invited to telephone the undersigned at (831) 476-5506.

25 ☐ The commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of any patent application processing fees under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17 associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to deposit account No. 01-2950.

Stuart T. Auvinen  
429 26th Avenue  
Santa Cruz, CA 95062

(831) 476-5506  
(831) 477-0703 Fax

Respectfully Submitted,



Stuart T. Auvinen  
Agent for Applicant  
Reg. No. 36,435